

Minutes of a meeting of the Association’s GHG Committee held on 16 September 2025 from 14:00 CET via Teams.

Members present or joining via videoconference are recorded in section 2, with the following also attending:

Nikos Vasileiadis	IMCA
Yashpreet Singh	IMCA

1 Competition law compliance policy

The IMCA Competition Law Compliance Policy was drawn to the attention of all present.

2 Welcome and attendance.

The chair welcomed members to the meeting.

Apologies for absence were recorded, with the meeting attendance as follows:

Name	Company	03/09/24	05/11/24	22/01/25	18/03/25	11/06/25	16/09/25
John Matthews	Subsea7	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Eugène van Dodeweerd	Boskalis	✓	Absent	✓	✓	Absent	Absent
Liesbeth Haan-van der Heijden	Van Oord	✓	Absent	Absent	✓	Absent	Apologies
Hedzer Keulen	Heerema	Absent	Absent	Absent	✓	✓	✓
Elena de Lazzari	Allseas	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Jose Sanchez	TechnipFMC	✓	Absent	✓	Apologies	✓	✓
Pierluigi Nunzi	Saipem	✓	✓	Absent	Apologies	Absent	✓
Peter McCombie	TechnipFMC	Apologies	✓	✓	Apologies	Absent	Absent
Gerd Van de Merlen	DEME	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Iris de Vos-Hermeler	Fugro	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Patricia Ayoub	DOF	Absent	Absent	✓	Absent	Absent	Absent
Mark Bloemsma	Jumbo Maritime		✓	✓	✓	✓	Absent
Christer Algroy	DOF					✓	Absent

Legend: ✓ Attended ✓ Part-Time Substitute Apologies Absent N/A

3 Minutes of the previous meeting

3.1 Approval of the minutes

Previous minutes were circulated after the last meeting, and no comments were received.

3.2 Matters arising from the minutes not covered by the agenda.

There were no matters arising from the minutes that were not covered by existing agenda items.

4 Minutes

- 4.1 The agenda was presented as part of a slide deck that was used for the meeting (the slides can be found in the Meeting Papers folder of the Team site).
- 4.2 The Competition Law Compliance Policy reminder was presented by Nikos.
- 4.3 The meeting proceeded with Nikos addressing that Lee won't be available for today's meeting and he will be taking over instead for today's meeting. Agenda for the meetings was presented on screen and Nikos discussed it in front of the committee, members were asked to raise questions, none were raised.
- 4.4 Nikos introduced the TOR as the first agenda item for the session.
- 4.5 Committee agreed to update the TOR, with emphasis on clarifying its scope in relation to ES and MPRA committee.
- 4.6 Elena emphasized the need to avoid overlap between the GHGC TOR scope and other committees.
- 4.7 John proposed appointing a Vice Chair for the committee as an action point.
- 4.8 Elena and John discussed enhancing collaboration with GHG, ES, and MPRA committees, suggesting the use of IMCA's internal RACI model to map boundaries going forward.
- 4.9 Nikos reiterated the committee's views and suggested to include a clause in the updated ToR to include only vessel operators as part of this committee. The committee agreed upon this recommendation.
- 4.10 Alternative fuels workgroup survey and engagement challenges were reviewed and following key observations were made.
- 4.11 Nikos described the process of distributing the two surveys (Design and Operations Phase) to vessel operating members, noting only 10 responses were received.
- 4.12 Pierluigi asked to whom the survey was shared with, and Nikos responded the people were identified from IMCA e-CMID platform, and various committees within the Marine team.
- 4.13 Gerd suggested that due to the summer holidays the response rate was not as expected

- 4.14 Nikos confirmed the length of the survey is a bit long but also emphasised that it is required to take as much data as possible and to capture a comprehensive picture regarding design and operations with alternative fuels.
- 4.15 John, Elena, Pierluigi and Nikos agreed that the survey should be resent to committee members, who will then respond or distribute it internally, and that direct outreach (as used in the Ports IQ project by Yash) will be employed to boost responses.
- 4.16 . Nikos mentioned his meeting with DNV representatives for the use of Alternative Fuels Insight, as part of the Alt Fuels Working Group which will allow our members to have an overview of alternative fuel infrastructure both globally and for ports of interest.
- 4.17 . Nikos mentioned that a member from the Apollo Project team will be presenting at the Alt Fuels Work Group, regarding the refit of the Viking vessel into ammonia dual fuel.
- 4.18 .
- 4.19 John mentioned that accounting of Greenhouse Gas emission is a very important subject and is keen to keep that conversation under IMCA umbrella, due to the rules and the work been done to land on this stage.
- 4.20 Gerd commented that there is difficulty in balancing the work done by regulators such as IMO and then by MPRA committee and GHG committee simultaneously, regarding greenhouse gas policies and frameworks.
- 4.21 Gerd also mentioned as vessel operators and or Vessel Owners it is important to know how to manage the greenhouse gas accounting figures.
- 4.22 Nikos mentioned that the energy efficiency workshop will take place on the 15th and 16th October in Amsterdam. t
- 4.23 Nikos invited committee members to present at the workshop, with the goal of keeping the discussion simple and allow delegates to understand what each company is doing in this direction.
- 4.24 . "Nikos mentioned that the goal of the workshop is to finalize the energy efficiency long list, providing a clear resource to support decarbonization efforts and informed decision-making by reducing onboard energy consumption.
- 4.25 Pierluigi asked if someone from his team can replace his presence at the workshop, Nikos agreed.
- 4.26 Jose mentioned that he won't be attending the workshop due to personal commitments but will double check if someone from his team can do so on his behalf.
- 4.27 John mentioned the session held approximately a year ago, where members shared various energy efficiency management techniques in a show-and-tell format. This session led to the idea of compiling a comprehensive list of these measures to be made available on the IMCA website, aimed at guiding members who are just starting their energy efficiency journey, as well as helping those further along benchmark their efforts against best practices.
- 4.28 John highlighted that Nikos has since taken the lead in developing this resource, which goes beyond a simple list to include detailed information on each measure, providing valuable guidance and demonstrating industry-aligned practices.

- 4.29 Nikos presented a heatmap tool for ranking energy efficiency measures based on multiple criteria, with plans to adapt it for offshore vessels and incorporate member feedback on selection criteria and operational profiles.
- 4.30 Nikos mentioned that the energy efficiency list has been narrowed down from 45 to 25, according to feedback by the members and is intended for this to be on focus during the workshop.
- 4.31 Addressing to the list of 25 energy efficiency measures, Gerd mentioned that there is a big diversity among committee members in the vessel operational profile, with John agreeing. Gerd gave an example by suggesting that certain vessels may hardly sail (e.g. from project A to project B) hence these technologies or measures that improve the navigation part will have limited to no impact, Nikos agreed to both the points.
- 4.32 John mentioned that providing the list of 25 options is a good starting point but added that it would be beneficial to include more information on the listed measures. Nikos agreed to shift focus and to provide a draft agenda.
- 4.33 . Iris emphasized the importance of reviewing the selection criteria for these measures, instead of just focusing on the measures alone. Nikos agreed that there should be more focus on selection criteria.
- 4.34 Yash presented an update on the ongoing Ports IQ project, highlighting that the data collection phase has reached 45% response rate, up from 22% at the last committee meeting. Initial data was gathered through two surveys and a subsequent direct calling phase, resulting in 90 responses from vessel-operating members. A table of 15 ports has been compiled based on consolidated inputs, with significant changes observed in port rankings compared to earlier stages (e.g., Stavanger rising from 8th to 2nd).
- 4.35 Yash mentioned the next phase involves engaging with the top 15 ports to assess their shore power and alternative fuel infrastructure. Additionally, there was strong interest from members in joining the Alternative Fuels Committee to receive regular updates on the project.
- 4.36 Nikos shared the reason for gathering this data is to help the IMCA team understand where members operate and then engage in alternative fuels advocacy actions around these ports to understand what the situation is there and try to promote the uptake of relevant infrastructure.
- 4.37 Gerd made a remark that the top two ports are potentially not the biggest ones in terms of bunker quantities and ports focusing on oil and gas industry in the North Sea. He also highlighted that the bigger vessels maybe going to different ports such as in Rotterdam or Singapore. John agreed.
- 4.38 John mentioned it depends on who we contacted to for this list. He expressed surprise at the current list and requested a comparison with the version compiled a few years ago. He asked Yash to check whether Lee has the previous list and to carry out a comparison between the two.
- 4.39 During the “Any other businesses” session, John mentioned putting together a cross committee workgroup to better collaborate and produce the optimum deliverables which could address subjects that overlap committees (i.e. EU ETS guidance is sitting between GHG and MPRA, CSRD is sitting with all 3 committees etc.)
- 4.40 John noted that, before proceeding, there needs to be a clear definition of the cross committee work group’s terms of reference.

5 Summary of Action Items

No	Action	Responsible	Target
1	Update TOR and include that any new member to be added should either be a Vessel Operator and/or Vessel Owner	LB, NV	Next Meeting
2	Select vice Chair for the committee	GHGC	Next Meeting
3	Hold an internal meeting to clarify boundaries and overlapping aspects between GHG committee, ES committee and MPRA committee	LB, NV with MPRAC and ESC	Next Meeting
4	Resend the alternative fuels experience survey to committee members, ensuring correct contacts, and request members to respond or distribute internally to increase response rate.	NV	Next Meeting
5	Share the survey with vessel operating members list, which was used for Ports IQ data, try reaching out to them via calling strategy	NV/YPS	Next Meeting
6	Share the current version of the fuel availability platform (including biofuels data) with committee members for review.	NV	Next Meeting
7	Reshape format of the Energy Efficiency Measures workshop and share the draft agenda with delegates w/c the 22 nd of September	NV	Before workshop
8	Identify the previous port survey results and compare it with the current list	YPS	Next Meeting
9	Next Meeting Early December or January	Committee	TBD